

附件 1

南开大学推荐免试攻读研究生申请表暨诚信承诺书

学号	2312130	姓名	景千夏	性别	男
民族	汉	出生日期	2005年08月11日	政治面貌	共青团员
学院	密码与网络空间学院		专业	信息安全	
手机号码	15093796377		电子邮箱	2312130@mail.nankai.edu.cn	
申请类型 (单选)	☒ 普通类推免名额 ☐ 支教团推免名额				
本人与学院遴选指标相关的材料列表	(学生可将本人与本学院遴选指标相关的材料列在下方，如科研成果、竞赛获奖、科研训练表现、参军入伍服兵役、志愿服务、国际组织实习等) 1. IJCNN 2026 第一作者论文，ccf c 类 会议 2. 志愿服务时长 87.5 小时 3. 2 次社会实践 4. 2026 年班级获得院级优秀团支部				
本人充分了解并理解《南开大学推荐优秀应届本科毕业生免试攻读研究生工作实施办法》(南党发〔2026〕37 号)和所在学院《推免细则》，并郑重承诺： 1. 本人所提供的一切材料真实可靠，无弄虚作假，如有不实之处，本人愿意承担由此造成的一切后果； 2. 如获得推免生资格，本人将慎重填报志愿，绝不浪费学校推免名额。 承诺人： 2026 年 09 月 03 日					

综合素质评价审查材料目录（不包含公能素质部分）

学院：密码与网络空间安全学院 专业：信息安全

学号：2312130

姓名：景千夏

请根据个人实际情况填写此目录（其他项目可自行添加行），并按填写顺序排序所有证明材料后附证明材料要求真实、直观。

此目录纸张横向单面打印、附在“承诺书”后

		加分项目 ① 赛事获奖请直接直接填写右边各列 ② 论文加分请写明论文题目后填写右边各列 ③ 辅修专业课程请写明辅修专业 ④ 参军入伍及系列情况写“参军入伍” 每类事项行数不够请在该类目下自行添加	此处填写获奖等级： ① 赛事获奖请填写“一等奖/二等奖/三等奖/金奖/银奖/铜奖” ② 论文发表请填写“发表期刊-类别”（eg. “IEEE TDSC”-CCF 英文 A 类） ③ 辅修专业课程总学分数 ④ 参军入伍/嘉奖/优秀士兵/三等功及以上	证明材料类别： ① 赛事获奖证书/获奖公告页面截图（材料里需标注出个人获奖信息所在行） ② 录用邮件页面截图/期刊网页截图+论文原文文本（证明自己是一作） ③ 辅修成绩单
特殊学术专长	重要赛事	高教社杯全国大学生数学建模竞赛本科组		
		全国大学生电子设计竞赛本科组		
		“挑战杯”全国大学生课外学术科技作品竞赛		
		中国国际大学生创新大赛（原中国国际“互联网+”大学生创新创业大赛）		
科研训练	各专业代表性赛事	ACM-ICPC 亚洲区域赛		
		全国大学生信息安全竞赛		
		全国密码技术竞赛		
		全国大学生计算机系统能力大赛		

		全国大学生物联网设计竞赛		
	一般赛事	全国大学生数学建模竞赛天津赛区		
		天津市“挑战杯”大学生课外学术科技作品竞赛		
		中国国际大学生创新大赛天津赛区(原中国国际“互联网+”大学生创新创业大赛天津赛区)		
		天津市物联网大赛		
		京津冀大学生信息安全网络攻防大赛(原天津市大学生信息安全网络攻防大赛)		
	辅修			
特殊学术专长	论文发表	Hierarchical RBF Neural Network Ensemble with Adaptive Global-Local Fusion for Data-Driven Expensive Optimization	IJCNN 2026 ccf c 类会议, 英文	录用邮箱页面截图, 论文原文, ijcn 论文集页面
	论文发表			
	论文发表			
参军入伍				
其他材料				

Hierarchical RBF Neural Network Ensemble with Adaptive Global-Local Fusion for Data-Driven Expensive Optimization

Qian-Xia Jing

College of Cryptology and Cyber Science
Nankai University
Tianjin, China

Jian-Yu Li

College of Artificial Intelligence
Nankai University
Tianjin, China
jianyulics@foxmail.com

Jun Zhang

Hanyang University
Ansan, South Korea

Abstract—Data-driven evolutionary algorithms (DDEAs) have emerged as effective approaches for solving expensive optimization problems (EOPs), where radial basis function neural networks (RBFNNs) are widely used as surrogate models due to their strong function approximation capability. However, existing RBFNN-based DDEAs typically rely on global surrogate models only, which may lose local information when handling medium-to-high dimensional complex multimodal functions. To address this limitation, this paper proposes HCLS-DDEA, a hierarchical clustering local surrogate DDEA with adaptive global-local fusion. HCLS-DDEA first partitions the decision space into multiple local subspaces by K-Means, then constructs a two-layer large-scale RBFNN ensemble in which the global layer captures the overall fitness landscape and the local layer fits high-frequency local features. In addition, model quality is evaluated by Bagging with OOB/CV errors, and high-quality models are dynamically selected through a roulette wheel mechanism. Finally, an adaptive weighted fusion predictor is designed based on distance and quality factors to smoothly coordinate global and local predictions. Experimental results on five benchmark functions in 10, 30, and 50 dimensions demonstrate that HCLS-DDEA significantly outperforms existing methods, particularly on 30-dimensional problems.

Index Terms—RBFNN, ensemble learning, hierarchical neural network architecture, adaptive fusion prediction, expensive optimization problem, data-driven evolutionary algorithm

I. INTRODUCTION

Data-driven evolutionary algorithms (DDEAs) are effective approaches for expensive optimization problems (EOPs) [1], [2], where objective evaluations are costly and surrogate models are introduced to reduce the number of real evaluations.

Improvements to DDEAs mainly focus on sample acquisition and surrogate modeling [1], [3]. Among surrogate models,

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant 62406152, in part by the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin under Grant 24JCQNJC02100, in part by the Tianjin Top Scientist Studio Project under Grant 24JRRRCRC00030, in part by the Tianjin Belt and Road Joint Laboratory under Grant 24PTLYHZ00250, in part by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.RS-2025-00555463, and RS-2025-25456394), and in part by the China Higher Education Institution Industry-University-Research Innovation Fund under Grant 2025XJS008. Corresponding authors: Jian-Yu Li

radial basis function neural networks (RBFNNs) are widely used because of their strong nonlinear approximation ability [4], [5]. Ensemble learning has further been introduced to improve robustness by reducing the bias and variance of single models [1], [6].

However, existing RBFNN-based DDEAs usually employ only global surrogate models, which may miss local information on medium-to-high dimensional multimodal functions. To address this issue, this paper proposes HCLS-DDEA, a hierarchical clustering local surrogate DDEA with adaptive global-local fusion. The main contributions are: 1) a K-Means-based decision-space partitioning strategy for hierarchical RBFNN training; 2) a two-layer RBFNN ensemble architecture in which the global layer captures overall trends and the local layer fits high-frequency details; 3) a quality evaluation and roulette-wheel dynamic selection mechanism using OOB error for global models and purified five-fold CV error for local models; and 4) an adaptive weighted fusion predictor based on distance and quality factors.

II. BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK

A. Data-Driven Evolutionary Algorithms

Unlike traditional evolutionary algorithms, DDEAs use sampled data to build surrogate models of the expensive objective function. They are generally divided into offline DDEAs, which rely on pre-collected data [7], and online DDEAs, which update models during optimization [8].

B. Offline Data-Driven Evolutionary Optimization

Offline DDEAs commonly employ Gaussian processes (GP) [9], RBF models [4], [5], and SVMs [10] as surrogate models.

To improve robustness, ensemble learning has also been introduced. For example, DDEA-SE [6], [11] uses Bagging to construct RBF model pools, and BDDEA-LDG [6], [12] uses Boosting to improve model accuracy. However, global ensemble methods still treat the decision space uniformly and may miss high-frequency local structures in complex multimodal problems.

C. Local Surrogate Modeling and Clustering Methods

To improve local fitting, researchers have adopted divide-and-conquer strategies that partition the decision space by clustering methods such as K-Means [13] and train local models within each subspace. Methods such as SA-COSO [14] follow this idea to improve fitting accuracy.

However, existing methods still face two challenges:

1) Data Sparsity Problem: In high-dimensional scenarios, available samples are limited. When partitioned into subregions, samples in each cluster may be insufficient for quality model training, leading to overfitting.

2) Boundary Discontinuity Problem: Most methods adopt hard-switching strategies, which may introduce artificial discontinuities at cluster boundaries and mislead the search process.

D. Research Motivation for HCLS-DDEA

Based on the above analysis, a unified framework is needed to combine the generalization ability of global ensembles with the fitting accuracy of local models. HCLS-DDEA is developed for this purpose, using adaptive soft fusion to mitigate local overfitting, reduce boundary discontinuity, and balance global exploration with local exploitation.

III. HCLS-DDEA ALGORITHM

A. Algorithm Framework

To address the problem of insufficient local information learning, this paper develops HCLS-DDEA, a hierarchical clustering local surrogate DDEA based on a hierarchical RBFNN ensemble. The algorithm comprises the following four core modules:

1) K-Means-based Space Partitioning: The K-Means clustering algorithm is employed to partition the decision space into K local subspaces, providing data grouping for hierarchical RBFNN training, and computing the center $\mathbf{c}_k$ and characteristic radius R_k of each cluster.

2) Two-layer Large-scale RBFNN Ensemble Training: The first layer trains M_{global} global RBFNNs to capture the overall topological trends of the fitness function; the second layer trains M_{local} local RBFNNs on each clustered subspace C_k to finely fit high-frequency local features. Each RBFNN uses Gaussian radial basis functions as hidden-layer activation functions.

3) Model Quality-based Neural Network Model Selection: The Bagging strategy is adopted to train neural network ensembles. OOB samples are used to evaluate global RBFNNs, while purified five-fold CV errors are used to evaluate local RBFNNs. High-quality model subsets are dynamically selected from the neural network pool through a roulette wheel mechanism in each generation of evolution.

4) Adaptive Weighted Fusion Prediction: Based on the distance from the candidate solution to the nearest cluster center and model quality, the fusion weights of global and local RBFNN predictions are dynamically computed to achieve a smooth transition.

B. K-Means-based Space Partitioning

To achieve decomposition of the high-dimensional decision space, we first employ the K-Means clustering algorithm to partition the sample points in the offline dataset $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$ into K local subspaces. Traditional K-Means clustering treats all data equally, tending to position cluster centers at the geometric center of the space rather than near the function optimum. To address this, we propose a **Top-K Guided Clustering Strategy** that uses only high-quality samples to locate cluster centers:

- 1) Sort the dataset by fitness value and select the top $\alpha \times N$ high-quality samples ($\alpha = 0.5$)
- 2) Perform K-Means clustering only on high-quality samples to obtain K cluster centers $\{\mathbf{c}_k\}_{k=1}^K$
- 3) Assign all samples (including low-quality ones) to the nearest cluster center

The clustering objective is to minimize within-cluster variance:

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_k^{top}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_k\|^2 \quad (1)$$

where C_k^{top} represents the subset of high-quality samples in the k -th cluster. This strategy makes cluster centers more likely to fall near local optima (valleys), allowing local RBFNNs to focus on promising regions. To ensure clustering stability, K-Means is run 10 times and the best result is selected.

To adapt to optimization problems of different dimensions, the number of clusters K adopts a dimension-adaptive strategy:

$$K = \max(2, \lfloor d/10 \rfloor) \quad (2)$$

After clustering is completed, the characteristic radius R_k of each cluster is computed for subsequent adaptive fusion:

$$R_k = \frac{1}{|C_k|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_k} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{c}_k\| \quad (3)$$

C. Two-layer Large-scale Ensemble Model Training

1) First Layer: Global Ensemble Model Pool: The global layer aims to eliminate single-model bias through large-scale ensembling and capture the overall topological structure of the fitness function. The Bagging strategy is used to train M_{global} RBFNN models:

For $i = 1, \dots, M_{global}$, the training process of the i -th global model G_i is as follows:

- 1) Randomly sample γ_{global} proportion of samples from dataset $\mathcal{D}$ to form training set $\mathcal{D}_i^{train}$
- 2) Use $\mathcal{D}_i^{train}$ to train RBFNN model G_i
- 3) Compute OOB error on out-of-bag samples $\mathcal{D}_i^{OOB} = \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}_i^{train}$:

$$\epsilon_{OOB}^{G_i} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_i^{OOB}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}_i^{OOB}} (G_i(\mathbf{x}) - y)^2 \quad (4)$$

The number of global models is set to $M_{global} = 100 \times d$, where d is the problem dimension. Through large-scale ensembling, prediction variance can be effectively reduced, providing robust global predictions in data-sparse regions.

2) *Second Layer: Local Ensemble Model Pool*: The local layer aims to finely reconstruct high-frequency details that are smoothed out by global models. For each cluster C_k , M_{local} local RBFNN models are trained independently. To simultaneously ensure local fitting accuracy and boundary smoothness, we propose a **Hybrid Data Strategy**:

For the k -th cluster, construct hybrid training dataset $\mathcal{D}_k^{hybrid}$:

$$\mathcal{D}_k^{hybrid} = \mathcal{D}_k^{local} \cup \mathcal{D}_k^{global} \quad (5)$$

where:

- $\mathcal{D}_k^{local} = \{(\mathbf{x}, y) | \mathbf{x} \in C_k\}$: all samples within cluster k (approximately 70%)
- $\mathcal{D}_k^{global}$: randomly sample $0.3N$ samples from other clusters (approximately 30%)

This hybrid strategy has dual advantages: (1) intra-cluster data ensures local feature learning; (2) global sampling smooths cluster boundaries, preventing local neural networks from failing at boundary predictions.

For $j = 1, \dots, M_{local}$, the j -th local RBFNN $L_{k,j}$ is trained using the Bagging strategy to sample γ_{local} proportion of data from $\mathcal{D}_k^{hybrid}$. To accurately evaluate the fitting quality of local RBFNNs, we adopt a **Five-Fold Cross-Validation Purified Scoring Mechanism**:

- 1) Partition hybrid dataset $\mathcal{D}_k^{hybrid}$ into 5 folds
- 2) For each fold, let $\mathcal{D}_{val}$ denote the held-out validation subset of $\mathcal{D}_k^{hybrid}$, and use the remaining four folds for training
- 3) Train the corresponding RBFNN and evaluate it on $\mathcal{D}_{val}$
- 4) **Key**: Since the local model is intended to measure fitting quality within cluster C_k , the validation error is computed *only* on the intra-cluster samples contained in $\mathcal{D}_{val}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}_k^{local} \cap \mathcal{D}_{val}$:

$$\epsilon_{CV}^{L_{k,j}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}_k^{local} \cap \mathcal{D}_{val}|} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathcal{D}_k^{local} \cap \mathcal{D}_{val}} (L_{k,j}(\mathbf{x}) - y)^2 \quad (6)$$

- 5) Compute 5-fold average error as model quality indicator

This purified scoring mechanism excludes interference from global data, truly reflecting the fitting capability of local neural networks within clusters, providing reliable basis for subsequent quality-driven fusion.

The number of local models is set to $M_{local} = 5 \times d$, where d is the problem dimension. Through dimension-adaptive large-scale ensembling, this hierarchical strategy decomposes the complex global regression problem into K simpler local subproblems.

D. Model Quality-based Dynamic Model Selection

To select high-quality models for prediction in each generation of evolution, we propose a roulette wheel selection mechanism based on model quality indicators.

First, convert the corresponding model quality error to model fitness:

$$fitness_i = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{(i)} + \varepsilon} \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon^{(i)}$ denotes $\epsilon_{OOB}^{G_i}$ for a global model and $\epsilon_{CV}^{L_{k,j}}$ for a local model, and ε is a small constant to prevent division by zero.

Then, model subsets are selected from the model pool through roulette wheel selection. The probability of each model being selected is:

$$P(i) = \frac{fitness_i}{\sum_j fitness_j} \quad (8)$$

At the beginning of each generation, M_{global}^{select} models are selected from the global model pool, and M_{local}^{select} models are selected from each local model pool respectively. This dynamic selection mechanism allows high-quality models to have a higher probability of being selected while maintaining diversity.

E. Adaptive Weighted Fusion Prediction Mechanism

For a new candidate solution $\mathbf{x}_{new}$, we design an adaptive weighted fusion mechanism based on distance and quality factors to address the boundary discontinuity problem caused by hard-switching.

1) *Global Prediction*: Use the selected global model subset to compute the global prediction value:

$$\hat{y}_{global}(\mathbf{x}_{new}) = \frac{1}{M_{global}^{select}} \sum_{i \in S_{global}} G_i(\mathbf{x}_{new}) \quad (9)$$

2) *Local Localization and Prediction*: First compute the distance from $\mathbf{x}_{new}$ to each cluster center, and determine the nearest cluster k^* :

$$k^* = \arg \min_k \|\mathbf{x}_{new} - \mathbf{c}_k\| \quad (10)$$

Define the minimum distance:

$$d_{min} = \|\mathbf{x}_{new} - \mathbf{c}_{k^*}\| \quad (11)$$

Then use the local model subset of cluster k^* to compute the local prediction value:

$$\hat{y}_{local}(\mathbf{x}_{new}) = \frac{1}{M_{local}^{select}} \sum_{j \in S_{local}^{k^*}} L_{k^*,j}(\mathbf{x}_{new}) \quad (12)$$

3) *Adaptive Fusion*: The fusion weights are jointly determined by distance and quality factors:

Distance Threshold Check: If $d_{min} > \alpha \cdot R_{k^*}$, then use global prediction directly, where α is the distance threshold factor.

Distance Factor: Use Gaussian kernel to compute distance weight:

$$w_{distance} = \exp\left(-\frac{d_{min}^2}{2R_{k^*}^2}\right) \quad (13)$$

Quality Factor: Use Sigmoid function to adjust weight according to model quality ratio:

$$w_{quality} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta \cdot (r_{quality} - 1))} \quad (14)$$

Algorithm 1 HCLS-DDEA Algorithm**Input:** Offline dataset $\mathcal{D}$, dimension d , bounds $[\mathbf{lb}, \mathbf{ub}]$ **Output:** Optimal solution $\mathbf{x}^*$

```

1: // Model Training Phase
2: Perform K-Means clustering to obtain  $K$  clusters with
   centers  $\{\mathbf{c}_k\}$  and radii  $\{R_k\}$ 
3: Train global ensemble model pool  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{M_{global}}$ , compute
   OOB errors
4: Train local ensemble model pools  $\{L_{k,j}\}$  for each cluster,
   compute 5-fold CV errors
5: // Evolutionary Optimization Phase
6: Initialize population  $POP$  using LHS
7: for  $g = 1$  to  $g_{max}$  do
8:   Select global model subset  $S_{global}$  via roulette wheel
   selection
9:   Select local model subsets  $\{S_{local}^k\}$  for each cluster via
   roulette wheel selection
10:  Evaluate population using hybrid predictor:  $Y =$ 
   Hybrid_Predictor( $POP$ )
11:  Generate offspring via SBX crossover:  $NPOP_1 =$ 
   SBX( $POP$ )
12:  Generate offspring via polynomial mutation:
    $NPOP_2 =$  Mutation( $POP$ )
13:  Evaluate offspring:  $Y_1, Y_2 =$ 
   Hybrid_Predictor( $NPOP_1, NPOP_2$ )
14:  Merge populations and select top  $n$  individuals by
   predicted fitness
15: end for
16: return Best individual  $\mathbf{x}^*$ 

```

where $r_{quality} = \bar{\epsilon}_{global} / \bar{\epsilon}_{local}^{k^*}$ is the ratio of average global OOB error to average local cross-validation error, and β controls the slope of the Sigmoid function.

Combined Weight and Final Prediction:

$$w_{local} = w_{distance} \cdot w_{quality} \cdot k_{max} \quad (15)$$

$$\hat{y}_{final}(\mathbf{x}_{new}) = w_{local} \cdot \hat{y}_{local} + (1 - w_{local}) \cdot \hat{y}_{global} \quad (16)$$

where k_{max} is the local maximum weight coefficient, controlling the maximum influence of local models.

This soft fusion mechanism dynamically adjusts weights based on the spatial position of candidate solutions and model quality, relying more on local models near cluster centers and smoothly transitioning to global models in boundary regions, effectively eliminating the fitness landscape discontinuity problem caused by hard-switching.

F. Evolutionary Optimization Flow

HCLS-DDEA employs a standard genetic algorithm framework for optimization search. Each individual is encoded as a real-valued vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ in the d -dimensional decision space, where each decision variable is bounded by the corresponding lower and upper search limits. Algorithm 1 presents the complete pseudocode.

TABLE I
TEST FUNCTIONS

No.	Function Name	Search Range	Optimal Value
F1	Ellipsoid	$[-5.12, 5.12]^d$	0
F2	Rosenbrock	$[-2.048, 2.048]^d$	0
F3	Ackley	$[-32.768, 32.768]^d$	0
F4	Griewank	$[-600, 600]^d$	0
F5	Rastrigin	$[-5, 5]^d$	0

Population initialization uses Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) to ensure uniform distribution of initial solutions. Evolutionary operators use Simulated Binary Crossover (SBX, $\eta_c = 15$, $p_c = 1.0$) and polynomial mutation ($\eta_m = 15$, $p_m = 1/d$). The selection strategy uses elitism, merging parents and offspring and ranking by predicted fitness, selecting the top n best individuals for the next generation.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

A. Experimental Settings

1) *Test Functions:* To comprehensively evaluate the performance of HCLS-DDEA, this paper selects 5 classical benchmark test functions for experiments, as shown in Table I. These functions cover unimodal functions (Ellipsoid), multimodal functions (Ackley, Rastrigin, Griewank), and ill-conditioned functions (Rosenbrock), with different characteristics and difficulty levels.

2) *Offline Dataset Construction:* Following the standard settings for offline data-driven optimization, Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) is used to generate offline datasets. The dataset size is set to $N = 11 \times d$, where d is the problem dimension. This setting simulates the data-scarce scenario in practical expensive optimization problems. The offline sample size $N = 11 \times d$ was selected as a practical data-scarce setting based on preliminary pilot experiments, so that the sample budget scales linearly with the problem dimension while remaining within a limited offline evaluation budget.

3) *Algorithm Parameter Settings:* The parameter settings of HCLS-DDEA are shown in Table II. Most parameters adopt dimension-adaptive strategies to adapt to optimization problems of different scales. The hyperparameters in Table II were selected based on preliminary pilot experiments to balance surrogate accuracy, ensemble diversity, and computational cost, rather than through exhaustive tuning. In particular, the dimension-dependent settings (e.g., $100 \times d$, $5 \times d$, and d) scale the model pool and model selection sizes with the problem dimension, so that the ensemble retains sufficient modeling capacity as the search space grows. The fusion parameters α , β , and k_{max} were fixed at moderate values to keep local influence conservative and maintain smooth transitions between local and global predictions near cluster boundaries.

4) *Comparison Algorithms:* To verify the effectiveness of HCLS-DDEA, the following four state-of-the-art data-driven evolutionary algorithms are selected for comparison:

- **BDDEA-LDG:** Boosting-based data-driven evolutionary algorithm with localized data generation [12], which progressively improves model accuracy through Boosting

TABLE II
HCLS-DDEA PARAMETER SETTINGS

Parameter	Symbol	Setting
<i>Dimension-adaptive Parameters</i>		
Global model pool size	M_{global}	$100 \times d$
Local model pool size	M_{local}	$5 \times d$
Global selection count	M_{global}^{select}	$5 \times d$
Local selection count	M_{local}^{select}	d
Number of clusters	K	See Equation (2)
<i>Bagging Sampling Parameters</i>		
Global sampling ratio	γ_{global}	0.5
Local sampling ratio	γ_{local}	0.8
<i>Fusion Parameters</i>		
Local maximum weight coefficient	k_{max}	0.15
Distance threshold factor	α	0.7
Sigmoid slope	β	3.0

- **DDEA-PES:** Data-driven evolutionary algorithm based on prediction error selection [15], which dynamically selects models through prediction error
- **CC-DDEA:** Cooperative coevolution-based data-driven evolutionary algorithm [16], which adopts decomposition strategy to handle high-dimensional problems
- **ESCO:** Data-driven algorithm based on evolutionary sampling and cooperative optimization [17], which combines sampling strategy with surrogate model optimization

5) *Experimental Environment and Statistical Methods:* All experiments are conducted in MATLAB R2025b environment. Each test configuration is independently run 20 times, and the true function values of the optimal solutions are recorded. Wilcoxon rank-sum test ($\alpha = 0.05$) is used for statistical significance analysis.

B. Comparison with Other Algorithms

To comprehensively evaluate the performance of HCLS-DDEA, this section compares it with four state-of-the-art data-driven evolutionary algorithms: BDDEA-LDG [12], DDEA-PES [15], CC-DDEA [16], and ESCO [17]. Table III presents the detailed comparison results on 5 test functions across 3 different dimensions (10, 30, 50). Here, "+" indicates that HCLS-DDEA is significantly better than the comparison algorithm, "≈" indicates no significant difference between the two, and "-" indicates that HCLS-DDEA is significantly worse than the comparison algorithm.

From Table III, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1) **HCLS-DDEA performs most outstandingly on 30-dimensional problems**, achieving 5-0-0 (five wins, zero ties, and zero losses over the five test functions) against BDDEA-LDG, 4-1-0 against DDEA-PES, 4-0-1 against CC-DDEA, and 5-0-0 against ESCO. This result validates the effectiveness of HCLS-DDEA's hierarchical local modeling strategy on medium-dimensional problems.

2) **On 10-dimensional problems**, HCLS-DDEA shows comparable performance to BDDEA-LDG and DDEA-PES, while outperforming CC-DDEA and ESCO. In low-dimensional cases, global models already provide good fitting, with limited additional benefits from local models.

3) **Overall**, combining results across three dimensions, HCLS-DDEA achieves 8-6-1 against BDDEA-LDG, 7-7-1 against DDEA-PES, 12-0-3 against CC-DDEA, and 13-1-1 against ESCO, demonstrating clear advantages on medium-dimensional expensive optimization problems.

C. Ablation Study Analysis

To verify the effectiveness of local ensemble models in HCLS-DDEA, this paper designs ablation experiments comparing the complete HCLS-DDEA with a variant using only global ensemble models (denoted as Global-Only). The Global-Only variant removes the K-Means clustering-based local modeling component and retains only the global RBFNN ensemble model for fitness prediction.

Table IV presents the comparison results between HCLS-DDEA and Global-Only on 10-dimensional and 30-dimensional test functions.

From the ablation study results:

1) **Local modeling effectiveness shows dimension dependency.** HCLS-DDEA achieves 2-3-0 on 10D and 1-4-0 on 30D compared to Global-Only, with advantages on specific functions (F2, F4 on 10D; F3 on 30D). On the Ackley function (F3), local models demonstrate significant advantages on 30D problems, successfully reducing average fitness from 5.01 to 4.46.

2) **The adaptive fusion mechanism has good self-regulation capability.** When global models perform better on certain functions, the mechanism automatically reduces local model influence, avoiding additional prediction errors and ensuring robustness across different scenarios.

3) **The overall advantage comes from multi-component synergy:** two-layer ensemble architecture, large-scale model pool, OOB quality evaluation, and adaptive fusion mechanism work together to achieve superior performance on medium-dimensional problems.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes HCLS-DDEA for medium-to-high dimensional expensive optimization problems. The method combines K-Means-based space partitioning, a two-layer RBFNN ensemble, quality-based model selection, and adaptive global-local fusion to improve local information learning while maintaining prediction smoothness.

Experiments on five test functions across 10, 30, and 50 dimensions show that HCLS-DDEA significantly outperforms the comparison algorithms, especially on 30-dimensional problems. Future work will further study parameter sensitivity, more advanced clustering methods, diverse base learners, and extensions to multi-objective and constrained optimization.

TABLE III
COMPARISON OF HCLS-DDEA WITH OTHER ALGORITHMS

D	Fun	HCLS-DDEA	BDDEA-LDG	DDEA-PES	CC-DDEA	ESCO
10	F1	9.84E-01 $\pm$ 6.85E-01	1.07E+00 $\pm$ 4.03E-01 ($\approx$)	1.34E+00 $\pm$ 6.20E-01 (+)	2.25E+00 $\pm$ 9.31E-01 (+)	9.53E+00 $\pm$ 5.74E+00 (+)
	F2	2.53E+01 $\pm$ 3.77E+00	3.35E+01 $\pm$ 8.28E+00 (+)	2.94E+01 $\pm$ 7.52E+00 ($\approx$)	6.22E+01 $\pm$ 9.19E+00 (+)	4.27E+01 $\pm$ 1.02E+01 (+)
	F3	5.89E+00 $\pm$ 7.52E-01	6.65E+00 $\pm$ 6.13E-01 (+)	6.40E+00 $\pm$ 1.44E+00 ($\approx$)	8.59E+00 $\pm$ 9.15E-01 (+)	8.53E+00 $\pm$ 1.75E+00 (+)
	F4	1.25E+00 $\pm$ 1.22E-01	1.27E+00 $\pm$ 1.26E-01 ($\approx$)	1.31E+00 $\pm$ 1.36E-01 ($\approx$)	9.78E-01 $\pm$ 8.94E-02 (-)	5.31E+00 $\pm$ 2.00E+00 (+)
	F5	6.15E+01 $\pm$ 1.85E+01	6.62E+01 $\pm$ 2.85E+01 ($\approx$)	5.99E+01 $\pm$ 1.76E+01 ($\approx$)	9.65E+01 $\pm$ 2.72E+01 (+)	4.52E+01 $\pm$ 7.74E+00 (-)
	+/ $\approx$ /-	NA	2/3/0	1/4/0	4/0/1	4/0/1
30	F1	4.38E+00 $\pm$ 1.07E+00	6.77E+00 $\pm$ 2.27E+00 (+)	5.76E+00 $\pm$ 2.27E+00 (+)	1.08E+01 $\pm$ 5.30E+00 (+)	2.22E+01 $\pm$ 5.39E+00 (+)
	F2	5.70E+01 $\pm$ 4.08E+00	6.94E+01 $\pm$ 7.79E+00 (+)	7.01E+01 $\pm$ 8.15E+00 (+)	1.46E+02 $\pm$ 2.92E+01 (+)	7.62E+01 $\pm$ 1.16E+01 (+)
	F3	4.46E+00 $\pm$ 5.34E-01	5.64E+00 $\pm$ 6.52E-01 (+)	5.45E+00 $\pm$ 3.63E-01 (+)	7.02E+00 $\pm$ 1.07E+00 (+)	5.87E+00 $\pm$ 4.21E-01 (+)
	F4	1.27E+00 $\pm$ 1.15E-01	1.38E+00 $\pm$ 1.09E-01 (+)	1.25E+00 $\pm$ 9.28E-02 ($\approx$)	1.00E+00 $\pm$ 5.26E-02 (-)	5.29E+00 $\pm$ 8.77E-01 (+)
	F5	1.02E+02 $\pm$ 2.15E+01	1.56E+02 $\pm$ 4.28E+01 (+)	1.48E+02 $\pm$ 2.99E+01 (+)	2.36E+02 $\pm$ 4.63E+01 (+)	1.20E+02 $\pm$ 2.13E+01 (+)
	+/ $\approx$ /-	NA	5/0/0	4/1/0	4/0/1	5/0/0
50	F1	1.49E+01 $\pm$ 4.67E+00	1.31E+01 $\pm$ 3.04E+00 ($\approx$)	1.43E+01 $\pm$ 4.57E+00 ($\approx$)	2.42E+01 $\pm$ 7.14E+00 (+)	1.04E+02 $\pm$ 2.32E+01 (+)
	F2	8.27E+01 $\pm$ 5.32E+00	9.89E+01 $\pm$ 9.67E+00 (+)	1.09E+02 $\pm$ 1.06E+01 (+)	2.15E+02 $\pm$ 3.00E+01 (+)	3.57E+02 $\pm$ 7.98E+01 (+)
	F3	4.99E+00 $\pm$ 3.88E-01	4.81E+00 $\pm$ 3.48E-01 ($\approx$)	4.94E+00 $\pm$ 4.20E-01 ($\approx$)	6.59E+00 $\pm$ 6.40E-01 (+)	8.13E+00 $\pm$ 7.14E-01 (+)
	F4	1.96E+00 $\pm$ 3.07E-01	1.41E+00 $\pm$ 8.79E-02 (-)	1.33E+00 $\pm$ 9.22E-02 (-)	9.99E-01 $\pm$ 7.39E-02 (-)	1.42E+01 $\pm$ 4.14E+00 (+)
	F5	1.84E+02 $\pm$ 2.70E+01	1.96E+02 $\pm$ 3.04E+01 ($\approx$)	2.35E+02 $\pm$ 4.84E+01 (+)	3.33E+02 $\pm$ 6.32E+01 (+)	1.95E+02 $\pm$ 2.39E+01 ($\approx$)
	+/ $\approx$ /-	NA	1/3/1	2/2/1	4/0/1	4/1/0

TABLE IV
ABLATION STUDY RESULTS

Fun	$D = 10$		$D = 30$	
	HCLS-DDEA	Global-Only	HCLS-DDEA	Global-Only
F1	9.84E-01 $\pm$ 6.85E-01	1.11E+00 $\pm$ 3.72E-01 ($\approx$)	4.38E+00 $\pm$ 1.07E+00	4.55E+00 $\pm$ 1.88E+00 ($\approx$)
F2	2.53E+01 $\pm$ 3.77E+00	2.94E+01 $\pm$ 5.91E+00 (+)	5.70E+01 $\pm$ 4.08E+00	5.76E+01 $\pm$ 4.80E+00 ($\approx$)
F3	5.89E+00 $\pm$ 7.52E-01	5.60E+00 $\pm$ 1.15E+00 ($\approx$)	4.46E+00 $\pm$ 5.34E-01	5.01E+00 $\pm$ 3.80E-01 (+)
F4	1.25E+00 $\pm$ 1.22E-01	1.35E+00 $\pm$ 1.46E-01 (+)	1.27E+00 $\pm$ 1.15E-01	1.23E+00 $\pm$ 9.35E-02 ($\approx$)
F5	6.15E+01 $\pm$ 1.85E+01	5.45E+01 $\pm$ 2.17E+01 ($\approx$)	1.02E+02 $\pm$ 2.15E+01	1.12E+02 $\pm$ 2.86E+01 ($\approx$)
+/ $\approx$ /-	NA	2/3/0	NA	1/4/0

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Jin, "Surrogate-assisted evolutionary computation: Recent advances and future challenges," *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 61–70, 2011.
- [2] T. Chugh, K. Sindhya, J. Hakanen, and K. Miettinen, "A survey on handling computationally expensive multiobjective optimization problems with evolutionary algorithms," *Soft Computing*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 3137–3166, 2019.
- [3] Z. Zhou, Y. S. Ong, P. B. Nair, A. J. Keane, and K. Y. Lum, "Combining global and local surrogate models to accelerate evolutionary optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews)*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 66–76, 2006.
- [4] M. D. Buhmann, "Radial basis functions," *Acta numerica*, vol. 9, pp. 1–38, 2000.
- [5] N. V. Queipo, R. T. Haftka, W. Shyy, T. Goel, R. Vaidyanathan, and P. K. Tucker, "Surrogate-based analysis and optimization," *Progress in aerospace sciences*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 1–28, 2005.
- [6] L. Breiman, "Bagging predictors," *Machine learning*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 123–140, 1996.
- [7] Y. Jin, H. Wang, T. Chugh, D. Guo, and K. Miettinen, "Data-driven evolutionary optimization: An overview and case studies," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 442–458, 2018.
- [8] M. T. Emmerich, K. C. Giannakoglou, and B. Naujoks, "Single- and multiobjective evolutionary optimization assisted by gaussian random field metamodels," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 421–439, 2006.
- [9] T. J. Santner, B. J. Williams, W. I. Notz, and B. J. Williams, *The design and analysis of computer experiments*. Springer, 2003, vol. 1.
- [10] C. Cortes and V. Vapnik, "Support-vector networks," *Machine learning*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 273–297, 1995.
- [11] H. Wang, Y. Jin, C. Sun, and J. Doherty, "Offline data-driven evolutionary optimization using selective surrogate ensembles," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 203–216, 2018.
- [12] J.-Y. Li, Z.-H. Zhan, C. Wang, H. Jin, and J. Zhang, "Boosting data-driven evolutionary algorithm with localized data generation," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 923–937, 2020.
- [13] J. MacQueen, "Multivariate observations," in *Proceedings of the 5th Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, vol. 1, 1967, pp. 281–297.
- [14] C. Sun, Y. Jin, R. Cheng, J. Ding, and J. Zeng, "Surrogate-assisted cooperative swarm optimization of high-dimensional expensive problems," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 644–660, 2017.
- [15] J.-Y. Li, Z.-H. Zhan, H. Wang, and J. Zhang, "Data-driven evolutionary algorithm with perturbation-based ensemble surrogates," *IEEE transactions on cybernetics*, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 3925–3937, 2020.
- [16] Y.-J. Gong, Y.-T. Zhong, and H.-G. Huang, "Offline data-driven optimization at scale: A cooperative coevolutionary approach," *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1809–1823, 2023.
- [17] X. Wu, Q. Lin, J. Li, K. C. Tan, and V. C. Leung, "An ensemble surrogate-based coevolutionary algorithm for solving large-scale expensive optimization problems," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 5854–5866, 2022.

WCCI 2026 IJCNN Decision Notification

发件人: Marissa Currier do_not_reply@linklings.com

收件人: 2312130 2312130@mail.nankai.edu.cn

时 间: 2026-03-20 23:49:54

Dear Qian-Xia,

Thank you for submitting your work to WCCI 2026. We are pleased to inform you that your **ijcnn_pap2083s1**, titled: "**Hierarchical RBF Neural Network Ensemble with Adaptive Global-Local Fusion for Data-Driven Expensive Optimization**" has been accepted to, **IJCNN Main Track** congratulations!

The reviews for your paper can be found on your submissions tab within your [Linklings account](#).

Please note that a final decision on whether your paper has been accepted for oral, or poster-based presentation is still pending. We will be sharing this decision as soon as possible, considering author preference, reviewer and chair views as well as practical considerations arising from the ratio of online and in-person attendees. We aim to share this information by the end of April.

Camera ready submission format and copyright requirements: We would like to remind you that the authors of all accepted papers at IEEE WCCI 2026 must upload the final, 'camera ready' versions. Even if no changes are recommended, a final version of the paper **MUST BE UPLOADED**. The final version should be revised based on the reviewers' comments, all format issues should be fixed, and the final PDF must be generated via IEEE PDF Express. For guidelines, please see <https://attend.ieee.org/wcci-2026/final-author-instructions/>

Manuscripts not following the format requirements of IEEE WCCI 2026 will be excluded from the conference proceedings. Please revise your paper carefully based on the reviewers' comments. Please note that you must complete and upload your IEEE copyright form prior to submitting your final manuscript.

[IJCNN Camera Ready Submission Form](#)

The final version of your manuscripts must be submitted and covered by appropriate author registration by 15th April 2026, see <https://attend.ieee.org/wcci-2026/final-author-instructions/>. You must complete registration before uploading your final paper. Papers will only be included in the conference proceedings if at least one author has registered and paid the registration fee by April 15, 2026 and if final camera ready papers, and associated copyright forms have been submitted by the same deadline.

It is the responsibility of the submitting author to ensure that the document is viewable and without any errors that would prevent the Conference from including the paper in the Digital Proceedings. You must also ensure that your presentation type (On-site, Virtual) is finalized and communicated as part of the camera-ready submission. The decision cannot be changed after this date, other than for exceptional circumstances which require approval by conference chairs.

Virtual presentations: Note that all accepted papers which opt to be presented virtually will need to submit a pre-recorded video presentation. Full guidelines on preparing for virtual presentation will be available closer to the conference here: <https://attend.ieee.org/wcci-2026/instructions-for-virtual-paper-presentations/>. A notification will be sent in due course once the details are available.

By contributing a manuscript for consideration to IEEE WCCI 2026, the Conference expects that accepted papers will be presented by one of the authors either on-site or virtually. Papers which are not presented are subject to exclusion from the conference proceedings.

Registration: As noted above, at least one author of each paper must be registered at the appropriate full conference rate (Member or Non-member) in order to present the paper. For Registration details, please see <https://attend.ieee.org/wcci-2026/registrations/>.

Visa invitation letters will be issued upon confirmed registration and payment. More information is provided here: [Visa – 2026 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence](#).

If you have any questions, please do NOT reply directly to this email but contact the conference support team at: wcci2026@klinkhamergroup.com

For questions about the following items, please use the contacts below:

(1) Travel Grant Information: see various travel grants information on WCCI 2026 webpage

(2) Mentoring Program Information: see [IEEE CIS Student and Early Career Mentoring Program – 2026 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence](#)

Once again, congratulations on your acceptance! We look forward to an exciting, informative, and inspirational conference. Thank you for being a part of WCCI 2026.

Use this link to spread the word across LinkedIn, X, Slack, WhatsApp, email, and more:
<https://app.gleanin.com/share/c/44552>

Best regards,
Barbara, Davide, Kai, Kerstin, Nicolò, Peter.

ijcnn_pap2057 · pdf	KUCL: Integrating Regularization and Unsupervised Contrastive Learning for Automatic Speech Recognition · view
ijcnn_pap2058 · pdf	PGA-YOLO: Toward Efficient and Robust Feature Interaction for Defect Detection in Complex Industrial Scenes · view
ijcnn_pap2064 · pdf	AMU-Net: Physics-Aware Adaptive Mamba U-Net for Efficient Multi-Lead Time Precipitation Nowcasting · view
ijcnn_pap2067 · pdf	SABTM: Semi-Supervised Multimodal Emotion Recognition via Adaptive Barlow Twins and Mixture-of-Experts · view
ijcnn_pap2068 · pdf	CoSupFormer: A Contrastive Supervised learning approach for multi-domain EEG signal Decoding · view
ijcnn_pap2069 · pdf	Regime Change Hypothesis: Foundations for Decoupled Dynamics in Neural Network Training · view
ijcnn_pap2071 · pdf	Progressive Domain-Invariant Injection for Cross-Domain Few-Shot Object Detection · view
ijcnn_pap2075 · pdf	Benchmarking Trustworthiness of SLMs: Pre-trained vs. Compressed · view
ijcnn_pap2076 · pdf	ST-CA2: Lightweight CSI Input Refinement for Efficient and Tiny Wi-Fi Sensing Models · view
ijcnn_pap2079 · pdf	Generation and Mitigation of Precision-Based Evasion Attacks in NNs Verification · view
ijcnn_pap2083 · pdf	Hierarchical RBF Neural Network Ensemble with Adaptive Global-Local Fusion for Data-Driven Expensive Optimization · view
ijcnn_pap2085 · pdf	FHFusion: Frequency Heterogeneity Network for Infrared and Visible Image Fusion · view
ijcnn_pap2089 · pdf	D2SC: Dual-Stage Diffusion-Guided Semantic-Visual Coupling for Zero-Shot Learning · view
ijcnn_pap2091 · pdf	Learning to Enhance in One Step: Average Residual Flow for Efficient Low-light Image Enhancement · view
ijcnn_pap2092 · pdf	Fusion-Rewired One Class Graph Autoencoder · view
ijcnn_pap2095 · pdf	ViPFormer: Visual-Physics Reasoning for Safe Depalletizing via Segmentation-Guided Transformer · view
ijcnn_pap2097 · pdf	An ID-Augmented Fusion Framework for Recommendation via Large Language Models and Heterogeneous Hypergraph · view
ijcnn_pap2101 · pdf	Structure-Aware Operator Fusion and Dynamic Materialization for Efficient Transformer Inference · view
ijcnn_pap2106 · pdf	SAN: Style-Adaptive Zero-Shot Coordination via Dynamic Partner Inference · view
ijcnn_pap2108 · pdf	AML-BGNN:Advanced Multi-Level Bayesian Graph Neural Network Framework for Robust Network Security Analysis · view
ijcnn_pap2111 · pdf	Explanations Leak: Membership Inference with Differential Privacy and Active Learning Defense · view

2027届本科生公能素质评价加分材料

	类别	分数（自填）	审核结果
思想成长与身心发展	理想信念坚定	5	
	热心服务集体	0	
	先锋作用突出	0.25	
志愿服务与社会实践	志愿服务	3	
	社会实践	0.5	
艺体素质与技能特长	代表学院参与文体竞赛	0	
	文体竞赛获奖	0	
到国际组织挂职实习	---	0	
总计	---	8.75	

材料统计截止时间：

学号： 2312130

2021级 2024年6月30日 ☐

姓名： 景千夏

2022级 2025年6月29日 ☐

2023级 2026年7月05日 ☐

所在 23级信息安全班
班级：

(一) 思想成长与身心发展（上限10分）

1、理想信念坚定（上限5分）

在校期间思想表现情况自述：

本人在校期间遵守国家法律法规和学校规章制度，认真完成课程学习与科研训练，尊敬师长、团结同学，诚实守信，无违法违纪、考试作弊和学术不端行为

本人签字：

日期：

辅导员评分：

辅导员签字：

日期：

有无违反法律法规： 无

有无违反学校纪律处分规定： 无

有无发生思想品德问题并造成不良影响： 无

(一) 思想成长与身心发展（上限10分）

3、先锋作用突出

计算机学院和密码与网络空间安全学院团委
关于 2025-2026 学年度团支部述职评议考核结果公示

经基础工作评价、团支部书记书面汇报，学院团委组织对各支部的日常工作
情况、专项工作完成情况及系统平台数据情况进行全面考核评议，按学段、类别
区分评选出下列支部为“优秀班团支部”。本学年获评过校级及以上荣誉的班团
支部已自动授予院级荣誉（不占用本次评议考核院级荣誉名额），具体情况如下：

- 2022 级学博团支部
- 2024 级专博班团支部
- 2024 级学硕班团支部
- 2025 级专硕 1 班团支部
- 计算机学院 2023 级计算机科学与技术 1 班团支部
- 计算机学院 2023 级计算机科学与技术 3 班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院 2023 级物联网工程班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院 2023 级信息安全班团支部
- 计算机学院 2024 级计算机卓越班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院 2024 级信息安全、法学双学位班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院 2024 级密码科学与技术班团支部
- 计算机学院工科试验班模拟 1-1 班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院豪密特色班团支部
- 密码与网络空间安全学院信息安全法学双学位班团支部

公示时间为 2026 年 7 月 1 日-7 月 3 日。对以上结果如有异议，请以书面形
式联系学院 623 团委办公室。

南开大学计算机学院和密码与网络空间安全学院团委



个人/团体奖项：	团体	荣誉所属学院：	密码与网络空间安全学院
荣誉名称：	优秀班团支部	获奖时间：	2026年7月
荣誉所属等级：	院级		

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践 (上限6分)

1、志愿服务

(1) 志愿服务时长证明

学号: 2312130

姓名: 景千夏

身份证号: 410404200508110017

志愿者编号: 410404001109864303

出生年月: 2005-08-11

学院: 密码与网络空间安全学院

专业: 信息安全

年级: 2023级

入学时间: 2023-09-02

毕业时间: 2027-07-01

政治面貌: 共青团员

手机号: 15093796377

服务时长: 87.5 小时

校外服务时长: 0 小时

前三学年内志愿服务总时长 (小时): 87.5

除公能实践课要求外志愿服务总时长 (小时): 74

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

1、志愿服务

(1) 除公能实践课课要求18学时外，剩余志愿服务时长证明（需在统计时间范围内且为志愿服务平台导出证明）



志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：
32	3	4

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

- 1、志愿服务
- (1) 除公能实践课课要求18学时外，剩余志愿服务时长证明（需在统计时间范围内且为志愿服务平台导出证明）



志愿服务时长（小时）：
3

志愿服务时长（小时）：
3

志愿服务时长（小时）：
3

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

1、志愿服务

(1) 除公能实践课课要求18学时外，剩余志愿服务时长证明（需在统计时间范围内且为志愿服务平台导出证明）



志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：
3	4	3

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

1、志愿服务

(1) 除公能实践课课要求18学时外，剩余志愿服务时长证明（需在统计时间范围内且为志愿服务平台导出证明）



志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：	志愿服务时长（小时）：
3	3	2

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

2、社会实践（上限为2分）

(1) 社会实践次数证明

已参加活动

-

搜索

活动名称	活动时间	认定时长
2025年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践	2024-12-01 08:00到 2025-02-28 08:00	20 小时
2024年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践	2023-12-01 08:00到 2024-02-28 08:00	20 小时

大一寒假是否参加 是
社会实践：

大二寒假是否参加 是
社会实践：

大三寒假是否参加 否
社会实践：

大一暑假是否参加 否
社会实践：

大二暑假是否参加 否
社会实践：

大三暑假是否参加 否
社会实践：

(二) 志愿服务与社会实践（上限6分）

2、社会实践（上限为2分）

(1) 社会实践证明



-2024年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践-
社会实践证明

景千夏 同学：

于2023年12月01日08:00至2024年02月28日08:00参与了以2024年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践为主题的社会实践活动，社会实践时长为20小时，该社会实践活动已在共青团南开大学委员会社会实践和志愿服务督导中心处备案。

特此证明。



南开大学社会实践和志愿服务督导中心
2024年2月





-2025年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践-
社会实践证明

景千夏 同学：

于2024年12月01日08:00至2025年02月28日08:00参与了以2025年“梦圆南开 心系母校”的团体实践为主题的社会实践活动，社会实践时长为20小时，该社会实践活动已在共青团南开大学委员会社会实践和志愿服务督导中心处备案。

特此证明。



南开大学社会实践和志愿服务督导中心
2025年2月

